

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
 Community Flood Preparedness Fund
 Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

| Project Scoring Only | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Applicant Name: | | CFPF-22-03-02 GLOUCESTER COUNTY | |
| Scoring Information | | | |
| Criterion | | Point Value | Points Awarded |
| 10. Eligible Projects (Select all that apply) | | | |
| Projects may have components of both 1.a. and 1.b. below; however, only one category may be chosen. The category chosen must be the primary project in the application. | | | |
| 1.a. Acquisition of property consistent with an overall comprehensive local or regional plan for purposes of allowing inundation, retreat, or acquisition of structures. | | 50 | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland restoration, floodplain restoration <input type="checkbox"/> Living shorelines and vegetated buffers. <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent conservation of undeveloped lands identified as having flood resilience value by <i>ConserveVirginia</i> Floodplain and Flooding Resilience layer or a similar data driven analytic tool <input type="checkbox"/> Dam removal <input type="checkbox"/> Stream bank restoration or stabilization. <input type="checkbox"/> Restoration of floodplains to natural and beneficial function. <input type="checkbox"/> Developing flood warning and response systems, which may include gauge installation, to notify residents of potential emergency flooding events. | | 45 | 45 |
| 1.b. any other nature-based approach | | 40 | |
| All hybrid approaches whose end result is a nature-based solution | | 35 | |
| All other projects | | 25 | |
| 11. ADAPT VA's Social Vulnerability Index Score. -2.5812447071075439E-2 | | | |
| Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) | | 15 | |
| High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) | | 12 | |
| Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) | | 8 | 8 |
| Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) | | 0 | |

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
 Community Flood Preparedness Fund
 Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

| | | |
|--|----|-----------|
| Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0) | 0 | |
| 12. Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community’s probation or suspension from the NFIP? | | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 13. Is the proposed project in a low-income geographic area as defined in this manual? | | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 14. Projects eligible for funding may also reduce nutrient and sediment pollution to local waters and the Chesapeake Bay and assist the Commonwealth in achieving local and/or Chesapeake Bay TMDLs. Does the proposed project include implementation of one or more best management practices with a nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment reduction efficiency established by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan? | | |
| Yes | 5 | 5 |
| No | 0 | |
| 15. Does this project provide “community scale” benefits? | | |
| Yes | 20 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| Total Points | | 58 |

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
 Community Flood Preparedness Fund
 Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

| Project Scoring Only | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Applicant Name: | | CFPF-22-03-03 GLOUCESTER COUNTY | |
| Scoring Information | | | |
| Criterion | | Point Value | Points Awarded |
| 10. Eligible Projects (Select all that apply) | | | |
| Projects may have components of both 1.a. and 1.b. below; however, only one category may be chosen. The category chosen must be the primary project in the application. | | | |
| 1.a. Acquisition of property consistent with an overall comprehensive local or regional plan for purposes of allowing inundation, retreat, or acquisition of structures. | | 50 | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland restoration, floodplain restoration <input type="checkbox"/> Living shorelines and vegetated buffers. <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent conservation of undeveloped lands identified as having flood resilience value by <i>ConserveVirginia</i> Floodplain and Flooding Resilience layer or a similar data driven analytic tool <input type="checkbox"/> Dam removal <input type="checkbox"/> Stream bank restoration or stabilization. <input type="checkbox"/> Restoration of floodplains to natural and beneficial function. <input type="checkbox"/> Developing flood warning and response systems, which may include gauge installation, to notify residents of potential emergency flooding events. | | 45 | 45 |
| 1.b. any other nature-based approach | | 40 | |
| All hybrid approaches whose end result is a nature-based solution | | 35 | |
| All other projects | | 25 | |
| 11. ADAPT VA's Social Vulnerability Index Score. -2.5812447071075439E-2 | | | |
| Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) | | 15 | |
| High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) | | 12 | 12 |
| Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) | | 8 | |
| Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) | | 0 | |

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
 Community Flood Preparedness Fund
 Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

| | | |
|--|----|-----------|
| Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0) | 0 | |
| 12. Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community’s probation or suspension from the NFIP? | | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 13. Is the proposed project in a low-income geographic area as defined in this manual? | | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 14. Projects eligible for funding may also reduce nutrient and sediment pollution to local waters and the Chesapeake Bay and assist the Commonwealth in achieving local and/or Chesapeake Bay TMDLs. Does the proposed project include implementation of one or more best management practices with a nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment reduction efficiency established by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan? | | |
| Yes | 5 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 15. Does this project provide “community scale” benefits? | | |
| Yes | 20 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| Total Points | | 57 |

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
 Community Flood Preparedness Fund
 Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

| Project Scoring Only | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------|--|
| Applicant Name: | CFPF-22-03-04 GLOUCESTER COUNTY | | |
| Scoring Information | | | |
| Criterion | Point Value | Points Awarded | |
| 6. Eligible Projects (Select all that apply) | | | |
| Projects may have components of both 1.a. and 1.b. below; however, only one category may be chosen. The category chosen must be the primary project in the application. | | | |
| 1.a. Acquisition of property consistent with an overall comprehensive local or regional plan for purposes of allowing inundation, retreat, or acquisition of structures. | 50 | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland restoration, floodplain restoration <input type="checkbox"/> Living shorelines and vegetated buffers. <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent conservation of undeveloped lands identified as having flood resilience value by <i>ConserveVirginia</i> Floodplain and Flooding Resilience layer or a similar data driven analytic tool <input type="checkbox"/> Dam removal <input type="checkbox"/> Stream bank restoration or stabilization. <input type="checkbox"/> Restoration of floodplains to natural and beneficial function. <input type="checkbox"/> Developing flood warning and response systems, which may include gauge installation, to notify residents of potential emergency flooding events. | 45 | | |
| 1.b. any other nature-based approach | 40 | 40 | |
| All hybrid approaches whose end result is a nature-based solution | 35 | | |
| All other projects | 25 | | |
| 7. ADAPT VA's Social Vulnerability Index Score. -2.5812447071075439E-2 | | | |
| Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) | 15 | | |
| High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) | 12 | | |
| Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) | 8 | | |
| Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) | 0 | 0 | |

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
 Community Flood Preparedness Fund
 Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

| | | |
|--|----|-----------|
| Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0) | 0 | |
| 8. Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community’s probation or suspension from the NFIP? | | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 9. Is the proposed project in a low-income geographic area as defined in this manual? | | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 10. Projects eligible for funding may also reduce nutrient and sediment pollution to local waters and the Chesapeake Bay and assist the Commonwealth in achieving local and/or Chesapeake Bay TMDLs. Does the proposed project include implementation of one or more best management practices with a nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment reduction efficiency established by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan? | | |
| Yes | 5 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 11. Does this project provide “community scale” benefits? | | |
| Yes | 20 | 20 |
| No | 0 | |
| Total Points | | 60 |

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
 Community Flood Preparedness Fund
 Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

| Project Scoring Only | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|----------------|
| Applicant Name: | | CFPF-22-03-05 MATHEWS COUNTY | |
| Scoring Information | | | |
| Criterion | | Point Value | Points Awarded |
| 6. Eligible Projects (Select all that apply) | | | |
| Projects may have components of both 1.a. and 1.b. below; however, only one category may be chosen. The category chosen must be the primary project in the application. | | | |
| 1.a. Acquisition of property consistent with an overall comprehensive local or regional plan for purposes of allowing inundation, retreat, or acquisition of structures. | | 50 | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland restoration, floodplain restoration <input type="checkbox"/> Living shorelines and vegetated buffers. <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent conservation of undeveloped lands identified as having flood resilience value by <i>ConserveVirginia</i> Floodplain and Flooding Resilience layer or a similar data driven analytic tool <input type="checkbox"/> Dam removal <input type="checkbox"/> Stream bank restoration or stabilization. <input type="checkbox"/> Restoration of floodplains to natural and beneficial function. <input type="checkbox"/> Developing flood warning and response systems, which may include gauge installation, to notify residents of potential emergency flooding events. | | 45 | 45 |
| 1.b. any other nature-based approach | | 40 | |
| All hybrid approaches whose end result is a nature-based solution | | 35 | |
| All other projects | | 25 | |
| 7. ADAPT VA's Social Vulnerability Index Score. 0.34281691163778305 | | | |
| Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) | | 15 | |
| High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) | | 12 | |
| Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) | | 8 | 8 |
| Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) | | 0 | |

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
 Community Flood Preparedness Fund
 Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

| | | |
|--|----|-----------|
| Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0) | 0 | |
| 8. Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community’s probation or suspension from the NFIP? | | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 9. Is the proposed project in a low-income geographic area as defined in this manual? | | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 10. Projects eligible for funding may also reduce nutrient and sediment pollution to local waters and the Chesapeake Bay and assist the Commonwealth in achieving local and/or Chesapeake Bay TMDLs. Does the proposed project include implementation of one or more best management practices with a nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment reduction efficiency established by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan? | | |
| Yes | 5 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 11. Does this project provide “community scale” benefits? | | |
| Yes | 20 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| Total Points | | 53 |

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
Community Flood Preparedness Fund
Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

| Project Scoring Only | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|----------------|
| Applicant Name: | | CFPF-22-03-06 MATHEWS COUNTY | |
| Scoring Information | | | |
| Criterion | | Point Value | Points Awarded |
| 6. Eligible Projects (Select all that apply) | | | |
| Projects may have components of both 1.a. and 1.b. below; however, only one category may be chosen. The category chosen must be the primary project in the application. | | | |
| 1.a. Acquisition of property consistent with an overall comprehensive local or regional plan for purposes of allowing inundation, retreat, or acquisition of structures. | | 50 | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland restoration, floodplain restoration <input type="checkbox"/> Living shorelines and vegetated buffers. <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent conservation of undeveloped lands identified as having flood resilience value by <i>ConserveVirginia</i> Floodplain and Flooding Resilience layer or a similar data driven analytic tool <input type="checkbox"/> Dam removal <input type="checkbox"/> Stream bank restoration or stabilization. <input type="checkbox"/> Restoration of floodplains to natural and beneficial function. <input type="checkbox"/> Developing flood warning and response systems, which may include gauge installation, to notify residents of potential emergency flooding events. | | 45 | |
| 1.b. any other nature-based approach | | 40 | |
| All hybrid approaches whose end result is a nature-based solution | | 35 | |
| All other projects | | 25 | 25 |
| 7. ADAPT VA's Social Vulnerability Index Score. 0.34281691163778305 | | | |
| Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) | | 15 | |
| High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) | | 12 | |
| Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) | | 8 | 8 |
| Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) | | 0 | |

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
 Community Flood Preparedness Fund
 Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

| | | |
|--|----|-----------|
| Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0) | 0 | |
| 8. Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community’s probation or suspension from the NFIP? | | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 9. Is the proposed project in a low-income geographic area as defined in this manual? | | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 10. Projects eligible for funding may also reduce nutrient and sediment pollution to local waters and the Chesapeake Bay and assist the Commonwealth in achieving local and/or Chesapeake Bay TMDLs. Does the proposed project include implementation of one or more best management practices with a nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment reduction efficiency established by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan? | | |
| Yes | 5 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 11. Does this project provide “community scale” benefits? | | |
| Yes | 20 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| Total Points | | 33 |

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
 Community Flood Preparedness Fund
 Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

| Project Scoring Only | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------|--|
| Applicant Name: | CFPF-22-03-07 MIDDLESEX COUNTY | | |
| Scoring Information | | | |
| Criterion | Point Value | Points Awarded | |
| 6. Eligible Projects (Select all that apply) | | | |
| Projects may have components of both 1.a. and 1.b. below; however, only one category may be chosen. The category chosen must be the primary project in the application. | | | |
| 1.a. Acquisition of property consistent with an overall comprehensive local or regional plan for purposes of allowing inundation, retreat, or acquisition of structures. | 50 | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland restoration, floodplain restoration <input type="checkbox"/> Living shorelines and vegetated buffers. <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent conservation of undeveloped lands identified as having flood resilience value by <i>ConserveVirginia</i> Floodplain and Flooding Resilience layer or a similar data driven analytic tool <input type="checkbox"/> Dam removal <input type="checkbox"/> Stream bank restoration or stabilization. <input type="checkbox"/> Restoration of floodplains to natural and beneficial function. <input type="checkbox"/> Developing flood warning and response systems, which may include gauge installation, to notify residents of potential emergency flooding events. | 45 | 45 | |
| 1.b. any other nature-based approach | 40 | | |
| All hybrid approaches whose end result is a nature-based solution | 35 | | |
| All other projects | 25 | | |
| 7. ADAPT VA's Social Vulnerability Index Score. 0.3278568908572197 | | | |
| Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) | 15 | | |
| High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) | 12 | | |
| Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) | 8 | 8 | |
| Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) | 0 | | |

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
 Community Flood Preparedness Fund
 Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

| | | |
|--|----|-----------|
| Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0) | 0 | |
| 8. Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community’s probation or suspension from the NFIP? | | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 9. Is the proposed project in a low-income geographic area as defined in this manual? | | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 10. Projects eligible for funding may also reduce nutrient and sediment pollution to local waters and the Chesapeake Bay and assist the Commonwealth in achieving local and/or Chesapeake Bay TMDLs. Does the proposed project include implementation of one or more best management practices with a nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment reduction efficiency established by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan? | | |
| Yes | 5 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 11. Does this project provide “community scale” benefits? | | |
| Yes | 20 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| Total Points | | 53 |

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
 Community Flood Preparedness Fund
 Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

| Project Scoring Only | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Applicant Name: | | CFPF-22-03-08 MIDDLESEX COUNTY | |
| Scoring Information | | | |
| Criterion | | Point Value | Points Awarded |
| 6. Eligible Projects (Select all that apply) | | | |
| Projects may have components of both 1.a. and 1.b. below; however, only one category may be chosen. The category chosen must be the primary project in the application. | | | |
| 1.a. Acquisition of property consistent with an overall comprehensive local or regional plan for purposes of allowing inundation, retreat, or acquisition of structures. | | 50 | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland restoration, floodplain restoration <input type="checkbox"/> Living shorelines and vegetated buffers. <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent conservation of undeveloped lands identified as having flood resilience value by <i>ConserveVirginia</i> Floodplain and Flooding Resilience layer or a similar data driven analytic tool <input type="checkbox"/> Dam removal <input type="checkbox"/> Stream bank restoration or stabilization. <input type="checkbox"/> Restoration of floodplains to natural and beneficial function. <input type="checkbox"/> Developing flood warning and response systems, which may include gauge installation, to notify residents of potential emergency flooding events. | | 45 | 45 |
| 1.b. any other nature-based approach | | 40 | |
| All hybrid approaches whose end result is a nature-based solution | | 35 | |
| All other projects | | 25 | |
| 7. ADAPT VA's Social Vulnerability Index Score. 0.3278568908572197 | | | |
| Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) | | 15 | |
| High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) | | 12 | |
| Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) | | 8 | 8 |
| Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) | | 0 | |

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
 Community Flood Preparedness Fund
 Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

| | | |
|--|----|-----------|
| Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0) | 0 | |
| 8. Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community’s probation or suspension from the NFIP? | | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 9. Is the proposed project in a low-income geographic area as defined in this manual? | | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 10. Projects eligible for funding may also reduce nutrient and sediment pollution to local waters and the Chesapeake Bay and assist the Commonwealth in achieving local and/or Chesapeake Bay TMDLs. Does the proposed project include implementation of one or more best management practices with a nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment reduction efficiency established by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan? | | |
| Yes | 5 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 11. Does this project provide “community scale” benefits? | | |
| Yes | 20 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| Total Points | | 53 |

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
 Community Flood Preparedness Fund
 Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

| Project Scoring Only | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------|--|
| Applicant Name: | CFPF-22-03-09 KING AND QUEEN COUNTY | | |
| Scoring Information | | | |
| Criterion | Point Value | Points Awarded | |
| 6. Eligible Projects (Select all that apply) | | | |
| Projects may have components of both 1.a. and 1.b. below; however, only one category may be chosen. The category chosen must be the primary project in the application. | | | |
| 1.a. Acquisition of property consistent with an overall comprehensive local or regional plan for purposes of allowing inundation, retreat, or acquisition of structures. | 50 | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland restoration, floodplain restoration <input type="checkbox"/> Living shorelines and vegetated buffers. <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent conservation of undeveloped lands identified as having flood resilience value by <i>ConserveVirginia</i> Floodplain and Flooding Resilience layer or a similar data driven analytic tool <input type="checkbox"/> Dam removal <input type="checkbox"/> Stream bank restoration or stabilization. <input type="checkbox"/> Restoration of floodplains to natural and beneficial function. <input type="checkbox"/> Developing flood warning and response systems, which may include gauge installation, to notify residents of potential emergency flooding events. | 45 | 45 | |
| 1.b. any other nature-based approach | 40 | | |
| All hybrid approaches whose end result is a nature-based solution | 35 | | |
| All other projects | 25 | | |
| 7. ADAPT VA's Social Vulnerability Index Score. -2.5812447071075439E-2 | | | |
| Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) | 15 | | |
| High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) | 12 | | |
| Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) | 8 | 8 | |
| Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) | 0 | | |

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
 Community Flood Preparedness Fund
 Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

| | | |
|--|----|-----------|
| Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0) | 0 | |
| 8. Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community’s probation or suspension from the NFIP? | | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 9. Is the proposed project in a low-income geographic area as defined in this manual? | | |
| Yes | 10 | |
| No | 0 | 0 |
| 10. Projects eligible for funding may also reduce nutrient and sediment pollution to local waters and the Chesapeake Bay and assist the Commonwealth in achieving local and/or Chesapeake Bay TMDLs. Does the proposed project include implementation of one or more best management practices with a nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment reduction efficiency established by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan? | | |
| Yes | 5 | 5 |
| No | 0 | |
| 11. Does this project provide “community scale” benefits? | | |
| Yes | 20 | 20 |
| No | 0 | |
| Total Points | | 78 |